



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Tradewall Patent CHT
Address: COMMISTIN WILL ADDRESS: COMMISTIN WILL ADDRESS Washington, D.C. 2023OS ANGELES
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/991,393 11/20/2001 Karen Gottlieb-Myers SPFUN-58134 5400 12/04/2002 24201 7590 FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP **EXAMINER HOWARD HUGHES CENTER** MATHEW, FENN C

HOWARD HUGHES CENTER
6060 CENTER DRIVE
TENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3764

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002

Response Due March 4,2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		50
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/991,393	GOTTLIEB-MYERS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Fenn Mathew	3764
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. a reply within the statutory minimum of third beeriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 November 2001 .	
	This action is non-final.	
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur Disposition of Claims</li> </ol>	llowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) $\underline{1-31}$ is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar Application Papers	nd/or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/20/2001</u> is/are: a	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approved b)∏ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in App	plication No
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a l</li></ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2/a))	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome ttachment(s)	provisional application has bee	en received
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		
	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_

6) Other:

Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/991,393

Art Unit: 3764

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the actuator must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to because reference numeral 34 is not shown in the figures. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - 5. In claim 1, the phrases "upper end" and "lower end" are not positively recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

se prof

Art Unit: 3764

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White (U.S. Patent No. D316,280) in view of Wirges et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,632,371). White discloses a pogo stick having a spring, a handle, disposed at the top of the spring, a platform, and an actuator disposed within the spring. White lacks bellows. Wirges teaches the use of bellows (32) in an analogous device to surround a spring rod. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the spring of White with an encircling bellows, as taught by Wirges in order to provide protection to the spring from elements that could cause damage (Wirges column 6, lines 6-7).
- 8. Referring to claim 6, White discloses a pogo stick having a platform for receiving the feet of a user, an actuator extending above and below the platform, a handle, and a spring coupled at a position above the platform. White lacks bellows. Wirges teaches the use of bellows (32) in an analogous device to surround a spring rod. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the spring of White with an encircling bellows, as taught by Wirges in order to provide protection to the spring from elements that could cause damage (Wirges column 6, lines 6-7).

Art Unit: 3764

9. Referring to claim 7, White, as modified by Wirges in claim 6, discloses the actuator, bellows and spring disposed in an aligned relationship.

- 10. Referring to claim 8, White as modified by Wirges in claim 6, discloses the bellows formed from a plurality of scalloped portions (see fig. 4), a helical spring, wherein the scalloped portions would inherently be coaxial with the spring, and wherein in the bellows an the spring are coupled to each other to provide a constraint.
- 11. Claims 2-5 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White and Wirges as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Dimitriadis (U.S. Patent No. 2,992,009). The modified White device lacks training members, however, Dimitriadis discloses a bounce apparatus having training members (52). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the modified White device with members, as disclosed by Dimitriadas in order to prevent the device from tipping over.
- 12. Referring to claim 3, White, as modified by Wirges and Dimitriadas above disclose the training members constituting a pair attached on opposite sides.
- 13. Referring to claim 4, the modified White device discloses training members attached at intermediate positions.
- 14. Referring to claim 5, the modified White device discloses the opposite ends of the training members in substantially the direction in which the user is facing, and the training members being made of a resilient material.
- 15. Referring to claim 9, the modified White device lacks training members, however, Dimitriadis discloses a bounce apparatus having training members (52). It would have

Application/Control Number: 09/991,393

Art Unit: 3764

been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the modified White device with members, as disclosed by Dimitriadas in order to prevent the device from tipping over.

- 16. Referring to claim 10, White as modified above in claim 7, discloses the bellows formed from a plurality of scalloped portions (see fig. 4), a helical spring, wherein the scalloped portions would inherently be coaxial with the spring, and wherein in the bellows an the spring are coupled to each other to provide a constraint. The modified White device lacks training members, however, Dimitriadis discloses a bounce apparatus having training members (52). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the modified White device with members, as disclosed by Dimitriadas in order to prevent the device from tipping over.
- 17. Claims 11-15 and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White (U.S. Patent No. D316,280) in view of Wirges et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,632,371) and further in view of Dimitriadas (U.S. Patent No. 2,992,009). White discloses a pogo stick having a spring, a handle, disposed at the top of the spring, a platform, and an actuator disposed within the spring. White lacks bellows. Wirges teaches the use of constrainable means (32) in an analogous device to surround a spring rod. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the spring of White with constrainable means, as taught by Wirges in order to provide protection to the spring from elements that could cause damage (Wirges column 6, lines 6-7). The modified White device lacks training members, however, Dimitriadis discloses a bounce apparatus having training members

Art Unit: 3764

(52). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the modified White device with members, as disclosed by Dimitriadas in order to prevent the device from tipping over.

- 18. Referring to claim 12, the modified White device discloses training members that are removable. (Fastening members are used indicating that they can be removed.)
- 19. Referring to claim 13, the modified White device discloses training members made from a resilient material and attached at an intermediate position.
- 20. Referring to claim 14, the modified White device discloses the claimed invention except for the exact positioning of the training members. The feature would be a matter of obvious design choice within the range of one with ordinary skill in the art.
- 21. Referring to claim 15, inherently if the training member is forced down, the opposite ends of the training members would become separated by an increased distance.
- 22. Referring to claim 21, White discloses a pogo stick having a spring, a handle, disposed at the top of the spring, a platform, and an actuator disposed within the spring. White lacks bellows. Wirges teaches the use of constrainable bellows (32) in an analogous device to surround a spring rod. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the spring of White with constrainable bellows, as taught by Wirges in order to provide protection to the spring from elements that could cause damage (Wirges column 6, lines 6-7). The modified White device lacks training members, however, Dimitriadis discloses a bounce apparatus having training members (52). It would have been obvious to one having

Art Unit: 3764

ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the modified White device with members, as disclosed by Dimitriadas in order to prevent the device from tipping over.

- 23. Referring to claim 22, the modified White device described above discloses training members constituting a pair extending generally in the direction which the user is facing with the user's feet on the platform and one disposed on one side of the platform.
- 24. Referring to claim 23, the modified White device as described in claim 21 discloses training members that are resilient and have a looped configuration.
- 25. Referring to claim 24, the modified White device inherently discloses that the opposite ends of the training members would flex outwardly when the pogo stick is actuated.
- 26. Referring to claim 25, the modified White device as described in claim 21 discloses training members that are resilient and have a looped configuration and inherently discloses that the opposite ends of the training members would flex outwardly when the pogo stick is actuated.
- 27. Referring to claim 26, the modified White device as described in claim 25 inherently discloses that if the training member is forced down, the opposite ends of the training members would become separated by an increased distance.
- 28. Claims 16-20 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White (U.S. Patent No. D316,280) in view of Dimitriadis (U.S. Patent No. 2,992,009). Referring to claim 16, White discloses a pogo stick having a spring, a handle, disposed at the top of the spring, a platform, and an actuator disposed within

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/991,393

Art Unit: 3764

the spring (therefore making it actuatable). White lacks training members. Dimitriadis discloses a bounce apparatus having training members (52). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the White device with members, as disclosed by Dimitriadas in order to prevent the device from tipping over.

- 29. Referring to claim 17, White as modified above discloses resilient training members.
- 30. Referring to claim 18, White, as modified above discloses training members having opposite ends capable of being disposed on a support surface with the training members being attached at an intermediate position.
- 31. Referring to claim 19, White, as modified by Dimitriadas above discloses a pair of training members capable of being coupled at a platform wherein the training members are removable.
- 32. Referring to claim 20, White, as modified by Dimitriadas above discloses training members having opposite ends capable of being disposed on a support surface, and wherein the training members are capable of being attached at an intermediate position to the platform, and wherein the training members are removable from the platform.
- 33. Referring to claim 27, White discloses a pogo stick having a spring, a handle, disposed at the top of the spring, a platform, and an actuator disposed within the spring (therefore making it actuatable) and the pogo stick maintaining contact with a support surface. White lacks training members. Dimitriadis discloses a bounce apparatus having training members (52). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill

Application/Control Number: 09/991,393

Art Unit: 3764

in the art at the time of invention to provide the White device with members, as disclosed by Dimitriadas in order to prevent the device from tipping over.

- 34. Referring to claim 28, White, as modified by Dimitriadas above discloses training members that are comprised of inherently resilient rods capable of being attached to the bottom of the platform.
- 35. Referring to claim 29, White, as modified by Dimitriadas above discloses the training members disposed in a direction in which the user is facing when the user is disposed on the platform.
- 36. Referring to claim 30, White, as modified by Dimitriadas above discloses the training members constructed and disposed relative to the platform to become constrained upon actuation.
- 37. Referring to claim 31, White, as modified by Dimitriadas in claim 29 discloses the training members constructed and disposed relative to the platform to become constrained upon actuation.

## Conclusion

38. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

DeSousa

U.S. Patent No. 4,243,218

Ottaway

U.S. Patent No. 3,855,979

Williams

U.S. Patent No. 3,328,028

Page 10

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fenn Mathew whose telephone number is (703) 305-2846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 5:30pm.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Jin fcm November 27, 2002 NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700